Industry, Regulators, Governments and Corporate Media are colluding to poison the people

Monsanto forced to release incriminating emails in US Roundup/cancers trial
Judge Chhabria and the US law firm Baum Hedlund uncovered emails on September 26 2012 between Monsanto’s personnel to discuss plan to seek retraction of the Séralini study with the Editor of Food and Chemical Toxicology (who it turned out had signed a contractual agreement with Monsanto a short time before the article appeared.)


An exchange of emails (10/03/2016-25/03/2016) between Dan Goldstein of Monsanto and a senior toxicologist in the UK to seek ways of organising a meeting of a group of influential toxicologists in Europe and funding them so that it wasn’t obvious the funding came from Monsanto.

25/03/2016 Goldstein wrote: “At this time, the situation around glyphosate continues to be, frankly, bizarre. In Europe, we have the final EU re-registration from BfR/EFSA and are currently stalled on a final vote. This is largely the IARC issue of course, and as you probably know, IARC is out of alignment with EPA, EFSA, Japan, Canada, and AU/NZ as well as 3 other WHO organizations. Serious process issues surrounded this IARC assessment, including a scientific advisor from an anti-pesticide NGO and a hand-selected group of panelists chosen before the public announcement of the evaluation, so that: no balance could be achieved.”


Plaintiffs are also suing for other cancers that have been linked to Roundup
Lawyers of the US firm Baum, Hedlund stated: A number of Roundup cancer studies have found evidence linking the weed killer’s main ingredient, glyphosate, to serious side effects. The most serious Roundup side effects include:

• Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma
• Bone Cancer
• Colon Cancer
• Kidney Cancer
• Liver Cancer
• Melanoma
• Pancreatic Cancer
• Thyroid Cancer


European Regulatory Authorities sided with Monsanto over the Séralini study
04/10/2012 The European Food Safety Authority has concluded that a recent paper raising concerns about the potential toxicity of genetically modified (GM) maize NK603 and of a herbicide containing glyphosate is of insufficient scientific quality to be considered as valid for risk assessment. EFSA’s initial review found that the design, reporting and analysis of the study, as outlined in the paper, are inadequate. Per Saugman Director of Scientific Evaluation of Regulated Products added that the consideration of possible long-term effects of GMOs has been, and will continue to be, a key focus of EFSA’s work to protect animals, humans and the environment.
EFSA’s preliminary review issued today is the first step in a two-stage process. A second analysis will be delivered by the end of October 2012.


28/11/2012 EFSA’s conclusions: Serious defects in the design and methodology of a
paper by Séralini et al. mean it does not meet acceptable scientific standards and there is no need to re-examine previous safety evaluations of genetically modified maize NK603. These are the conclusions of separate and independent assessments carried out by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and six EU Member States following publication of the paper in the journal Food and Chemical Toxicology on 19 September 2012.


The UK Science Media Centre is funded by industry, the Wellcome Trust and the UK Government

The European Food Safety Authority must have been aware of that fact, and of the conflicts of interest of many of the SMC’s so-called “experts” for example Prof Maurice Moloney and Jonathan Jones, both of who had Monsanto and GMO connections.

http://www.nature.com/news/two-nations-divided-by-a-common-purpose-1.10224

The All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on Agroecology met in the Houses of Parliament in London on June 18, 2014 to discuss the harm caused by the world’s most popular herbicide – Roundup®. People from all round the world met to listen to four experts. The first expert to speak was Dr Don Huber, Plant scientist, Emeritus Professor Purdue University. He produced two crucial slides of facts about glyphosate. One was a picture of an octopus: each arm is used to describe the multiple actions of the biocide glyphosate: Mineral chelating agent (previously used for cleaning boilers) ‘grabbing’ minerals such as Boron, Ca, Co, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Ni and Zn that are essential to metabolic processes and absorption of amino acids; Growth regulator; Antibiotic; Herbicide; Toxicant; Virulence enhancer; Organic phosphonate; Persistent in the environment.

The second was the epidemic of diseases in the US that have increased between 1995 and 2011 and are linked to glyphosate: the incidence of the same diseases has increased in the UK over that time.

Alzheimer’s disease, Dementia, Autism, ADHD Bipolar, Diabetes (Type 2) Multiple sclerosis, Motor-neurone Disease, Obesity, Stroke, Hypertension, Cataracts & eye diseases, Inflammatory bowel disease, Birth defects, Colitis, Crohn’s disease Hypercholesterolaemia, Allergies, asthma, Atopic dermatitis, Miscarriage / infertility, Renal disease/failure, Liver abnormalities, Coeliac disease, Gluten intolerance, Pancreatic abnormalities, Autoimmune diseases, Arthritis and cancers.

The Agrochemical and Pharmaceutical Industry work within UK Government

One corporation causes diseases and the other tries to cure them. Both they and the British Government make a lot of money that the UK taxpayer is funding. Syngenta is one member of the GTF. Syngenta manufactures its own formulated glyphosate and a GM crop engineered to be resistant to glyphosate. Michael Pragnell CBE was the founder of Syngenta, former Chairman of Cancer Research UK (CRUK) 2010-2016 and former President of CropLife International. The CMO, CRUK, Public Health England and the Media blame the people for “life style choices” but the Corporations are to blame.

Alcohol is linked with seven forms of cancer: this ‘alleged fact’ is endlessly reinforced by the UK media until people in the UK are brainwashed and believe it to be true

An article was published in the British Medical Journal on 9 April 2016 reporting a survey commissioned by Cancer Research UK ‘People lack awareness of link between alcohol and cancer.’ The Report produced by researchers at the University of Sheffield ‘comes ahead of the consultation closing on how well new drinking guidelines proposed by the UK’s Chief Medical Officers in January 2016, are communicated.’

“Almost 90 per cent of people in England don’t associate drinking alcohol with an increased risk of cancer” Alison Cox, Cancer Research UK’s Director of Cancer
Prevention. She said: “The link between alcohol and cancer is now well established, and it’s not just heavy drinkers who are at risk. Drinking alcohol is linked to an increased risk of seven different cancers - liver, breast, bowel, mouth, throat, oesophageal (food pipe), laryngeal (voice box) - but when people were asked “which, if any, health conditions do you think can result from drinking too much alcohol?” just 13 per cent of adults mentioned cancer.” Dr Penny Buykx, a senior research fellow at The University of Sheffield and lead-author of the report, said: “We’ve shown that public awareness of the increased cancer risk from drinking alcohol remains worryingly low. People link drinking and liver cancer, but most still don’t realise that cancers including breast cancer, mouth and throat cancers and bowel cancer are also linked with alcohol, and that risks for some cancers go up even by drinking a small amount.”

The first research to show a causative link between Roundup and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)
A study involving a new technique of ‘multiomics’ is the first ever to show a causative link between consumption of Roundup at a real-world environmentally relevant dose and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, (NAFLD). NAFLD currently affects 25% of the US population and similar numbers of Europeans. Risk factors include being overweight or obese, having diabetes, or having high cholesterol or high triglycerides (a constituent of body fat) in the blood. However, some people develop NAFLD even if they do not have any of these known risk factors.


EU regulators sided with Monsanto: Claire Robinson writes for GM Watch
To the public’s detriment, some regulatory bodies have backed Monsanto rather than the public interest and have backed off the notion that long-term studies should be required for GM crops. In fact, the EU is considering doing away with even the short 90-day animal feeding studies currently required under European GMO legislation. This will be based in part on the results of the EU-funded GRACE animal feeding project, which has come under fire for the industry links of some of the scientists involved and for its alleged manipulation of findings of adverse effects on rats fed Monsanto’s GM MON810 maize.


Uncovered: Monsanto campaign to get Séralini study retracted
“The most shocking revelation of the disclosed documents is that the editor of Food and Chemical Toxicology, A. Wallace Hayes, entered into a consulting agreement with Monsanto in the period just before Hayes’s involvement in the retraction of the Séralini study. Clearly Hayes had a conflict of interest between his role as a consultant for Monsanto and his role as editor for a journal that retracted a study determining that glyphosate has toxic effects. The study was published on 19 September 2012; the consulting agreement between Hayes and Monsanto was dated 21 August 2012 and Hayes is contracted to provide his services beginning 7 September 2012.”


Why did EFSA Reasoned Opinion Group grant a100-fold increase in Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) of glyphosate in foods?
Monsanto Europe asked the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) to raise the import tolerance for glyphosate in lentils “in order to accommodate the authorised desiccation use of glyphosate in lentils in the US and Canada” from 0.1 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg (i.e. 100 times). This was approved on 13th January 2012.

A Joint FAO/WHO meeting on Pesticide Residues in 1994 had granted elevated Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) for glyphosate on soya (from 5 mg/kg to 20 mg/kg) and soya bean fodder (from 20 mg/kg to 200 mg/kg).


The Vice President of ILSI (International Life Sciences Institute) Europe was the Chairman of the Joint FAO/WHO meeting in 2015 that said that glyphosate was not carcinogenic. ILSI had been given money by Monsanto and CropLife International.


The Monsanto Tribunal: Next steps: Amsterdam 14th June 2017. I had the following letter because I had given written testimony to the tribunal Judges: Roundup has poisoned our nature reserve.

"Dear Rosemary Mason

After the presentation of the Advisory Opinion of the Monsanto Tribunal judges on April 18, much work is ahead of us.

1) The 60-page Advisory Opinion, that for thousands of attorneys worldwide will be an important legal instrument for prosecuting these crimes, is to be spread as widely as possible in legal circles, including UN agencies, environmental organizations and governments. But there is also activity at other levels: courts in some countries are considering hearing individual judges from the Tribunal, because they are evaluating if they can incorporate ECOCIDE as a criminal offense in their national legislation. Likewise, deliberations are underway to address the United Nations to extend the Rome Statute, on which the International Criminal Court is based, to include the criminal offense of ECOCIDE.

2) The comprehensive documentation from the hearings of the Monsanto Tribunal is to be provided for a worldwide study on the evaluation of the environmental damage caused by Monsanto over the last 60 years. In particular, long-term damage to health and nature will be considered. The planned collective study is under the supervision of renowned economists. Even today experts estimate that the sum of damages could far exceed the price of the merger with Bayer. This study will provide a basis for launching further collective accusations against Monsanto. Werner Baumann has to ask himself whether he would expect his shareholders, in addition to the high purchase price for Monsanto, to agree with accruals in the two or even three-digit billion range in order to meet all the claims of damage against the firm. The purchase of Monsanto could become a Trojan Horse for Bayer. The Bayer-Monsanto merger will not be as smooth as that of Union Carbide and Dowchemical after the Bhopal disaster in 1984, where the victims and their relatives are still waiting for reparation.

3) And perhaps the most important: Several witnesses of the Tribunal have expressed their intention to engage in real lawsuits against Monsanto. If these trials come about, witnesses expect us to support them logistically, politically and financially. We will of course, do this, as a promise from the very beginning to help the witnesses after the Tribunal to take legal actions against Monsanto.

With best wishes, Rene Lahnherr, Treasurer"
Environmental Lawyer comments on ECHA’s classification of glyphosate

Kofi Dogbevi, one of the lawyers for the Monsanto Trial, wrote to me about ECHA’s position:

“It is interesting to see that ECHA’s Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) agreed to maintain the classification of glyphosate as a substance causing serious and irreversible effect on the eye (Eye Dam. 1, H318) and being toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting effects (Aquatic Chronic 2, H411), and at the same time reaching the conclusion that glyphosate is safe and non-hazardous. While this imbroglio seems to relate to the distinction between hazard (the intrinsic potential to cause harm) and risk (the probability of harm occurring at a given exposure), it is clear that any reasonable person would assert that a product causing an irreversible harm on the eye, and having a long-lasting toxicity impact on aquatic life, is likely a dangerous product.

Hazardous materials/substances were covered, in the European Union under the Dangerous Substances Directive (DSD) and the Dangerous Preparations Directive (DPD), regulations that are currently replaced by the EC Regulation No 1272/2008 of 16 December 2008 on the classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures. It is very interesting to notice that glyphosate is listed under the EC Regulation No 1272/2008 as substance causing eye irritation (Eye Dam. 1, H318), toxic to the aquatic environment (Aquatic Chronic 2, H411), and having an acute toxicity (H302) which is a Hazard Category 4. However, it is very troubling to see that the ECHA not only voluntarily omitted the acute toxicity characteristic (H302/ hazard category 4) of glyphosate, but also exonerate itself of any risk assessment task that may lead to unexpected or non-provisionary outcome, and classified glyphosate as safe and non-hazardous substance.

I therefore support your call for an independent hazard and risk assessments, and an accounting of the scientific community for the outcome of their findings.” Kofi Dogbevi.

Regulators, Industry and Politicians’ knowledge of natural history is abysmal

We sent the Monsanto Tribunal our two photo-journals from 2010: The Year of the Bumblebee and Speckled Bush Crickets. (We sent out other copies to the Regulators in Europe but only the European Chemicals Agency acknowledged them.) By 2011, the orb web spider Araneus quadratus had gone, moths, eight species of shield bug, ladybirds and their larvae and pupae, butterflies, bush crickets and grasshoppers were all declining, our bee hotel that had been full in 2015 was empty in 2016. Although there were still bumblebees around, their numbers were greatly reduced and their behaviour abnormal. In 2017 we haven’t seen a single dragonfly (aquatic life) on our pond. In the November 2016 edition of The Leader we read that Swansea City and County Council had waged a ‘war on weeds’ for ‘the benefit of tourists’ with Dakar Pro, a professional preparation of Roundup. Under Freedom of environmental information we learned that 518 kg Dakar Pro had been sprayed on city pavements, ward by ward. There was an epidemic of cataracts (Anthony Samsel obtained, under FOI, about 100,000 pages of Monsanto’s original long-term research on rats/mice from the US EPA that showed that they knew it caused cancers and cataracts and ECHA classified glyphosate as causing severe eye damage).

‘Aquatic life’: invertebrates that feed salmon and trout fry have vanished

ECHA’s Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) on two occasions (2002 and 2017) allowed the renewal of the license of a chemical classified as toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting effects, without realizing the effects on fish stocks in rivers. The salmon and trout numbers in Welsh rivers in 2017 were described as ‘critical.’ Those in some parts of England are pretty dire.
Bats at risk? Bat activity and insecticide residue analysis of food items in an apple orchard

When Stahlschmidt & Bruhl analysed insecticide residues in food items in an apple orchard in Germany in 2012, they discovered that EFSA in their pesticide risk assessments do not consider bats as a separate species; insectivorous mammals are represented by the generic indicator species 'shrew.'

As the authors observe, bats and shrews are very different. Bats feed on a wide range of flying insects and arthropods that they take from the tops of trees; they are long-lived with low reproduction rates and they have hibernation periods in which lipophilic pesticides can accumulate. The US bat populations have plummeted due to a fungal disease, White Nose Syndrome. There are extinctions of some species. Since the UK wildlife research sites were closed in 2006, a single Defra veterinary scientist has been put in charge of analysing dead bats sent in by the public.

We moved into our house in South Wales in 1979, nearly 40 years ago. At that time there were countless numbers of bats. Now, in 2017, after chronic exposure of our nature reserve to ultra-low dose Roundup, we detect, at the most, just two or three.


Mark Eaton of the RSPB, the Report’s first author said: “The report includes a new “biodiversity intactness index”, which analyses the loss of species over centuries. The UK has lost significantly more nature over the long term than the global average with the UK the 29th lowest out of 218 countries. “It is quite shocking where we stand compared to the rest of the world, even compared to other western European countries: France and Germany are quite a way above us in the rankings,” said Eaton. “The index gives an idea of where we have got to over the centuries, and we are pretty knackered.”

It was therefore astounding to hear the complete denial of the NFU and Defra about The State of Nature Report. NFU vice-president Guy Smith said “intensification of farming had ended in the early 1990s” that farmers “were using less fertiliser and pesticides than ever” and a spokeswoman from Defra said: “Protecting our precious environment and supporting our world-leading farmers, a cornerstone of our economy, will form an important part of our EU exit negotiations.” The statistics for pesticide usage produced by Fera show exactly the opposite. Isn’t Defra supposed to be advising the UK Government?

Around 75% of the UK is managed for food production. How we manage that land is key to the state of Nature.

UK 165 species are considered critically endangered and likely to go extinct.

England 109 species are critically endangered and likely to go extinct.

Scotland 65 species are critically endangered and are likely to go extinct.

Northern Ireland 45 species are critically endangered and likely to go extinct.

Wales 41 species are critically endangered and likely to go extinct.”

Increasing pesticide residues in non-organic food and glyphosate in farm animals

In 1980 a Monsanto scientist recommended that UK farmers sprayed glyphosate pre-harvest in 1980…but without publication in a peer-reviewed journal, or monitoring of the effects on the crops. Approved by ADAS, farmers embraced it enthusiastically.

Food and Environment Research Agency (FERA) survey of pesticides 1988 to 2014

These indicate that Pesticide Residues on British food are increasing annually. A survey of pesticide (active substances) usage on Oil Seed Rape (OSR) 1988-2014 showed that the number of active substances applied had increased from 5 in 1988 to 15 in 2014 and the number of treatments had increased from 5 in 1988 to 12 in 2014. In 2014, herbicides were used on 98.4% OSR and seed treatments on 95.8%.
In 2014 glyphosate was used on Wheat (601,330 kg) Winter barley, Spring barley, Oats, Rye, Triticale, Oilseed rape (577,969 kg), Linseed, All potatoes, Peas, Beans, Sugar beet, with a total of 1,765,465 kg glyphosate on all crops. The total weight of pesticides (herbicides and desiccants, fungicides, growth regulators, molluscicides and repellants, insecticides and seed treatments) applied to farmland in 2014 was in excess of 16,000 tonnes. Guy Gagen, Chief Arable Adviser for the NFU, said increased glyphosate use (up one third since 2012, to an area the size of Wales) was probably due to treatment of ‘black grass.’ Black grass is a glyphosate-resistant super-weed just like Japanese knotweed. Herbicide resistant black grass, first seen in 1982 (two years after farmers started spraying glyphosate pre-harvest) and is now found on 16,000 farms in 34 counties. Gagen said that spraying wheat could result in traces of glyphosate ending up in bread sold in supermarkets but the amount was well below the maximum residue level set by the EU. A Defra spokesman said: "There are extensive regulations in place so that people and the environment are protected from pesticides. The approval of glyphosate for use across Europe is being reviewed by the EU Commission."

In 2015 the total area of cropland treated with glyphosate in Great Britain was approx. 2,260,000 ha. Global use of glyphosate in 2016 was 18.9 billion pounds (8.6 billion kg).

**Glyphosate measured in the urine of farm animals**

GM soya and maize are already being fed to animals in Europe. Prof Dr Monika Krueger undertook a field study in which thirty dairy cows from each of eight Danish dairy farms were investigated for excretion of glyphosate in urine. All excreted glyphosate in their urine and many were being fed concentrates of soy and corn, usually GM Roundup Ready.


"Increased levels of GLDH, GOT and CK in cows from all farms demonstrate a possible effect of glyphosate on liver and muscle cells. High urea levels in some farms could be due to nephrotoxicity of glyphosate. Also the unexpected very low levels of Mn and Co were observed in all animals which could be explained due to a strong mineral chelating effect of glyphosate."


Compositional differences in soybeans on the market: Glyphosate accumulates in Roundup Ready GM soybeans.

- Glyphosate tolerant GM soybeans contain high residues of glyphosate and AMPA.
- Soybeans from different agricultural practices differ in nutritional quality.
- Organic soybeans showed a more healthy nutritional profile than other soybeans.
- Organic soy contained more sugars, protein and zinc, but less fibre and omega-6.
- This study rejects that GM soy is “substantially equivalent” to non-GM soybeans.

In a commentary on the paper Professor Bøhn said: **Lack of data on pesticide residues in major crop plants is a serious gap of knowledge with potential consequences for human and animal health.** How is the public to trust a risk assessment system that has overlooked the most obvious risk factor for herbicide tolerant GM crops, i.e. high residue levels of herbicides, for nearly 20 years? If it has been due to lack of understanding, it would be bad. If it is the result of the producer’s power to influence the risk assessment system, it would be worse."

What caused a 50% decrease in sperm count in males between 1973 and 2011? Prof Hagai Levine from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem undertook a rigorous and
comprehensive meta-analysis of data collected between 1973 and 2011 finds that among men from Western countries, sperm concentration declined by more than 50 percent, with no evidence of a 'leveling off' in recent years. Prof Levine suggested endocrine disruption from chemical exposures "during critical windows of male reproductive development may play a role in prenatal life", "exposure to pesticides may play a role in adult life. Thus, a decline in sperm count might be considered as a 'canary in the coal mine' for male health across the lifespan." These factors were not mentioned in the article in The Guardian either by the reporter or by the UK heads of Departments of Reproductive Medicine. "We have got very little epidemiological evidence to say what might be causing it, so we are still scratching our heads a little bit in my view," said Pacey. I sent them a paper: Prepubertal subchronic exposure to soy milk and glyphosate leads to endocrine disruption

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311879320_TITLE_Prepubertal_subchronic
_exposure_to_soy_milk_and_glyphosate_leads_to_endocrine_disruption

The research was from Brazil where 88% of the soy grown in 2013-4 was GM Roundup Ready. I sent them the above paper about glyphosate. Prof Levine replied thanking me; but the British doctors never replied. The government and the British Medical Association had voted with EFSA for glyphosate to be re-licensed.

US Scientist Anthony Samsel, who had obtained under FOI 100,000 pages of Monsanto's sealed studies from the US EPA, published the fourth in the series about glyphosate: Glyphosate, pathways to modern diseases IV: cancer and related pathologies

http://www.amsige/jbpc/31515/11SA15R.pdf

He had also given advice to the California Environmental Protection Agency June 2017: “A thorough consideration of Glyphosate cannot be had without a deep investigation and understanding of the nitrosamines of glyphosate which are carcinogens. Make no mistake, all Roundup glyphosate-based herbicide products, as well as ingested glyphosate residues are responsible for carcinogenic N-nitrosamine compounds for which the human and animal populations are and will be exposed. Glyphosate and its nitrosamines do not belong in any biology. I ask that you set a zero tolerance for Glyphosate in food, air, water and soil. There are no safe levels of Glyphosate.”

The Poison Papers Expose Decades of Collusion between Industry and Regulators over Hazardous Pesticides and Other Chemicals

Center for Media and Democracy
For Immediate Release: Wednesday, July 26, 2017

Contact:
Dr. Jonathan Latham, jrlatham@bioscienceresource.org, (607) 319-0279
Cara Newlon, cara.newlon@berlinrosen.com, (703) 899 3206
Carol Van Strum, cvstrum@gmail.com

“The Bioscience Resource Project and the Center for Media and Democracy today are releasing a trove of rediscovered and newly digitized chemical industry and regulatory agency documents stretching back to the 1920s. The documents are available at PoisonPapers.org.

Together, the papers show that both industry and regulators understood the extraordinary toxicity of many chemical products and worked together to conceal this information from the public and the press. These papers will transform our understanding of the hazards posed by certain chemicals on the market and the fraudulence of some of the regulatory processes relied upon to protect human health and the environment.”

The press release was on July 26 2017
But why was it not reported in the UK Corporate Media? Are they colluding with the Regulators to keep this information from the public? The UK is urging the EU Commission to renew the license on glyphosate. After Brexit it intends importing and growing GM crops. So this is very relevant to the British people.

**Our Daily Poison: From Pesticides to Packaging, How Chemicals Have Contaminated the Food Chain and Are Making Us Sick**

Marie-Monique Robin is an award-winning French journalist, author and filmmaker. She is the patron of the Monsanto Tribunal and on the Steering Committee. She received the 1995 Albert-Londres Prize, awarded to investigative journalists in France. She is the director and producer of more than thirty documentaries across Latin America, Africa, Europe and Asia, receiving thirty awards. She wrote the best-selling documentary (and book by the same name), “The World to According Monsanto,” which has been broadcast on fifty international television stations, and translated into 22 languages. Her new book: **Our Daily Poison: From Pesticides to Packaging, How Chemicals Have Contaminated the Food Chain and Are Making Us Sick.**

“Pull at the corner of any recent public health scandal, and you can find the fingerprints of the multinationals that profit from lax regulation. In this muckraking exposé, Marie-Monique Robin lays bare the hidden history of the chemical industry and its long trail into the present. Unless you’re part of the international lobbying set, you’ll be shocked by the global connections between regulatory agencies, the corporations that have nestled into them, and the betrayal of public health that they have licensed. For anyone concerned about democracy, corporate power or public health, this is a gripping and urgent book.” raj Patel, author of **Stuffed and Starved.**

The British people are being silently poisoned by hundreds of man-made chemicals many of which are untested and unmonitored.

**But so are the regulators, governments and the corporate media.**

Rosemary Mason MB ChB FRCA

03/08/2017