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Open Letter to the CMO England and Werner Baumann CEO Bayer

The Chief Medical Officer for England’s 2019 annual report focuses on UK engagement with global health. “The UK is a world leader in health, with an impressive track record of global collaboration, but there is no room for complacency. Health is everybody’s business. The family we are born into, the houses we live in, and the food we eat all contribute to our health. But in our changing world, it is time to recognise that the determinants of health are not only biological and social but also commercial (consumer and environmental changes driven by profit). Most of these commercial determinants of health do not adhere to traditional boundaries of state, but they are affected by politics, consumer demand, and globalisation. Across the UK, there are significant and widening inequalities in a range of health outcomes, including healthy life expectancy, infant mortality, and obesity. These health inequalities are not and should never be acceptable.”

Why was there no mention of cancers in the CMO’s 2019 Report?

Each year there are steady increases in the numbers of new cancers in the UK and increases in deaths from the same cancers, with no treatments making any difference to the numbers.

In the UK there were 13,605 new cases of Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma in 2015 (and 4,920 deaths in 2016); 41,804 new cases of bowel cancer in 2015 (and 16,384 deaths in 2016); 12,547 new cases of kidney cancer in 2015 (and 4,619 deaths in 2016); 5,736 new cases of liver cancer in 2015 (5,417 deaths in 2016); 15,906 new cases of melanoma in 2015 (2,285 deaths in 2016); 3,528 new cases of thyroid cancer in 2015 (382 deaths in 2016); 10,171 new cases of bladder cancer in 2015 (5,383 deaths in 2016); 8,984 new cases of uterine cancer in 2015 (2,360 deaths in 2016); 7,270 cases of ovarian cancer in 2015 (4,619 deaths in 2016); 9,900 new cases of leukaemia in 2015 (4,712 deaths in 2016); 55,122 new cases of invasive breast cancer in 2015 (11,563 deaths in 2016); 47,151 new cases of prostate cancer in 2015 (11,631 deaths in 2016); 9,211 new cases of oesophageal cancer in 2015 (8,004 deaths in 2016); and 5,540 new cases of myeloma in 2015 (3,079 deaths in 2016); 2,288 new cases of testicular cancer in 2015 (57 deaths in 2016); 9,921 new cases of pancreatic cancer in 2015 (9,263 deaths in 2016); 11,432 new cases of brain cancer in 2015 (5,250 deaths in 2016); 46,388 new cases of lung cancer in 2015 (35,620 deaths in 2016). In the US in 2014 there were 24,050 new cases of myeloma.

Who persuaded the CMO not to mention cancers? Werner Baumann or Bill Gates?

Plaintiffs who claimed that Monsanto concealed that Roundup caused cancer has risen

The number of lawsuits from people in the U.S. who say the herbicide caused them to develop cancer rose by about 5,000 to 18,400. (In the UK there were 13,605 new cases of Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma in 2015 (and 4,920 deaths in 2016), but the British Government is supporting Bayer and Monsanto, so no mention is made of the lawsuits in the British media.

Werner Baumann is trying to decide whether to settle or fight. The judges have reduced the awards recommended by the jury. Bayer will be advised by its new Attorney John H. Beisner. He has been employed to guide its supervisory board which has set up a committee to help resolve a multi-billion-dollar glyphosate litigation issue. Elliott Associates has a 2.1% stake and therefore is an interested party.

---

3. https://uk.reuters.com/article/uk-bayer-glyphosate-mediator-idUKKCN1TR2NS
Letter of warning to Bayer on 24 July 2017

On 24 July 2017, I wrote to you, Werner Baumann, Chief Executive Bayer CropScience, David Fischer Director, Environment Toxicology and Risk Assessment, Bayer CropScience and Tom Moriarty Risk Manager, US Environmental Protection Agency. I warned Bayer against acquiring Monsanto’s portfolio. I pointed out that they had concealed the dangers of Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) for many years in order to make money. I attached the Main.pdf which details the meeting held on October 15 1969 Report of the Aroclor ‘ad hoc’ Committee. In this meeting it was shown that PCBs were found to have contaminated wildlife around the globe, up to the Arctic and the communities of Inuit people. Cynical discussions were held between Monsanto executives on whether or not to come clean with the public about the extent of PCBs contamination and the fact that it caused Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma (NHL), a serious and often fatal type of haemopoietic cancer in humans.

This 1969 Report on PCBs was released after the US Court case when Monsanto, Solutia, Pharmacia and Pfizer were found guilty of negligence in the causation of NHL on 26/05/2016 and 3 plaintiffs were awarded $46.5 million. The minutes of the meeting had been completely hidden from public scrutiny for 37 years. In handwritten notes at the end was: "Subject is snowballing where do we go from here? Alternatives: Go out of business: Sell the hell out of them as long as we can and do nothing else. What do we tell our customers? The Big Question!" They kept silent for more than 37 years. In fact, Monsanto knew already in 1955 that PCBs were fatal to rats.

Transparency creates trust. At Bayer we embrace our responsibility to assess our products’ safety

An advertisement that Bayer placed in Politico and the Farmers’ Guardian on 19/12/2018 4

“Transparency creates trust. At Bayer, we embrace our responsibility to communicate how we assess our products’ safety — and we recognize that people around the world want more information around glyphosate. This month, we published more than 300 study summaries on the safety of glyphosate on our dedicated transparency website. “

Bayer CropScience has never been transparent in its life. Formerly IG Farben, the private German chemicals company allied with the Nazis, that manufactured the Zyklon B gas used to commit genocide against millions of European Jews in the Holocaust. It built a factory next to Auschwitz, Poland, so it could exploit Jewish slave labour in its oil and rubber production plant. In total, some 300,000 detainees from Auschwitz were employed in IG Farben’s workforce, supplying the company with free labour. The company housed the workers in its own concentration camp, with the horrendous conditions there and in the factory leading to an estimated 30,000 deaths. On top of this, an unknown number of workers deemed unfit to continue working at the factory were sent to the death camp at Auschwitz. Alongside the brutal conditions of the labour camp, IG Farben also sanctioned drug experiments on live, healthy inmates. IG Farben was probably the most well-known corporate participant in the Holocaust, and the company’s history sheds a chilling light on how genocide became tied in with economics and business. 5

At the end of the war, after the Nuremberg Trials, the company itself was dissolved into three separate divisions, Hoescht, Bayer, and BASF. Monsanto was a firm created by the Rockefeller Foundation. 6 Monsanto partners with I.G. Farben, makers of Bayer aspirin and the Third Reich’s go-to chemical manufacturer producing deadly Zyklon-B gas during World War II.

---

5 https://www.newhistorian.com/ig-farben-opens-factory-at-auschwitz/3822/
6 https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-complete-history-of-monsanto-the-worlds-most-evil-corporation/5387964
I informed Bayer CropScience Executives about Baum Hedlund and the Monsanto papers. Baumhedlundlaw.com have announced the schedule of court cases against Monsanto.7

“Monsanto Company (now Bayer) is facing thousands of lawsuits across the United States filed by individuals who allege exposure to Roundup weed killer caused them to develop non-Hodgkin lymphoma. The first trial against Monsanto ended on August 10, 2018 with a unanimous jury verdict of $289 million for a Benicia, California school district groundskeeper, Dewayne “Lee” Johnson. Most of the Roundup cancer lawsuits are filed in various state courts. Others have been consolidated in a federal multidistrict litigation (MDL) in Northern California. Below you will find the Monsanto Roundup trial schedule for cases throughout the U.S. This page will be updated on a monthly basis.”

I informed Bayer about the Monsanto papers.8 “These documents, obtained via Discovery, allows people to see what is happening behind the ‘curtain of secrecy’ that normally shrouds ongoing litigation. You will find links to internal Monsanto emails, text messages, company reports, studies and other memoranda. The first batch of these were released on 01/08/2017.”

Bayer successfully closed the acquisition on 07/06/2018, despite these warnings.

Bill Gates lost two of his best friends (also billionaires) to cancers associated with Roundup

I pointed this out to Trevor Mundel, President of the Global Health Division, The Gates Foundation.

In 2009, Bill Gates had met with other billionaires to discuss a depopulation agenda to control the population of the poor and allow the rich to inherit the earth.9

Bill Gates support for Monsanto and Bayer seems curious in view of the fact that he lost two of his best childhood (billionaire) friends, Paul Allen (in 2018, aged 65) co-founder of Microsoft to Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma, which was diagnosed in 200910 and Steve Jobs (aged 56) after a long struggle with pancreatic cancer (associated with Roundup).11 “For Gates, Jobs’ illness and death exemplified an important lesson about valuing what matters.”

Did Dr Mundel have his own reasons for not passing the message to Bill Gates on? Was he emulating Frederick Gates, John D. Rockefeller’s Financial Advisor?

Rockefeller Foundation’s millions were diverted into closing down homeopathic hospitals in New York by his corrupt advisers12

Extracts from Sue Young: “John D. Rockefeller Snr. instructed Frederick Gates, his financial advisor, to issue major grants to homeopathic institutions. An advocate of conventional medicine, Gates ignored his boss’s orders and $350 million in donations went to orthodox medicine and hospitals. Gates was strongly interested in German medicine, and was opposed to the traditional homeopathic medicine used by Rockefeller’s personal physician, Hamilton Fisk Biggar, with whom he often had heated arguments.”

11 https://www.cnbc.com/2017/10/05/steve-jobs-untimely-death-taught-bill-gates-this-important-lesson.html
Why did Professor Dame Sally Davies invite Bill Gates to write a chapter?

Global Justice Now asks pertinent questions about the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) In January 2016, Global Justice Now bought out a Report: Gated Development: Is the Gates Foundation always a force for good? It asks the question: “Should Bill Gates be setting the global agenda for health and agriculture?”

The Corporations (the Agrochemical Industry and the Pharmaceutical Industry) that the Gates Foundation funds and works closely with are those present at the Bilderberg Meetings whose objectives are to control the world. Monsanto, Cargill, Syngenta, DuPont, Bayer, Nestle, Mondelez and Mars are among the Corporations. “The foundation is also pushing GM research in the UK. In 2012, a team of British plant scientists at the John Innes Centre in Norwich won a $10 million grant to develop GM cereal crops; this was one of the largest single investments in GM in the UK... The Swindon-based Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, which also promotes GM research, received an $8 million grant in 2010 to support high quality research on sustainable crop production in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia... In June 2014, the BMGF gave $5.6 million to another major GM developer and advocate, Cornell University, to support a global agricultural communications platform that will improve understanding of science-based agricultural technologies”. Global Justice Now reports that BMGF has an overwhelming focus on vaccines and GM technology. Bill Gates: “Donor countries, you must increase your investment in vaccines and immunization, even though you are coping with budget crises... All 193 member states, you must make vaccines a central focus of your health systems.”

In his 2015 annual letter, for example, Bill Gates wrote that the next 15 years will see major breakthroughs in poor countries which: “will be driven by innovation in technology – ranging from new vaccines and hardier crops to much cheaper smartphones and tablets – and by innovations that help deliver those things to more people.”

In September 2014, Margaret Chan, the Director General of the WHO, told the New York Times in an interview about the Ebola outbreak in West Africa that “my budget [is] highly earmarked, so it is driven by what I call donor interests. When there’s an event, we have money. Then after that, the money stops coming in, then all the staff you recruited to do the response, you have to terminate their contracts. So, I don’t like these kind of ups and downs and ups and downs... When there is no war to fight, these staff would help countries to build preparedness, to build response systems. Just like a fire department. When there is no fire, you help countries to build capacity.”

The inference in Chan’s remarks is that the WHO, whose largest donor is the BMGF, is unable to respond adequately to Ebola and other disease outbreaks because donor interests prevent it being able to build public health systems in developing countries.

Jacob Levich, writing in Global Research, said: “The Gates charitable empire is vast and growing. Within the US, BMGF focuses primarily on ‘education reform,’ providing support for efforts to privatize public schools and subordinate teachers’ unions. The much larger international divisions target the developing world and are geared toward infectious diseases, agricultural policy, reproductive health, and population control. In 2009 alone, BMGF spent more than $1.8 billion on global health projects.” He confirmed the view of Global Justice Now that the power of the WHO is in the hands of BMGF and its ‘partners’. Levich said: “Emulating his own strategies for cornering the software market, Gates has created a virtual monopoly in the field of public health. In the words of one NGO official, ‘[y]ou can’t cough, scratch your head or sneeze in health without coming to the Gates Foundation.’ The Foundation’s global influence is now so great that former CEO Jeff Raikes

was obliged to declare: “We are not replacing the UN. But some people would say we’re a new form of multilateral organization.”

Control of media reports: “It is not inconceivable that you might find yourself some-day reading a story about a Gates-funded health project, written up in a newspaper that gets its health coverage underwritten by Gates, reported by a journalist who attended a Gates-funded journalism training program, citing data collected and analysed by scientists with grants from Gates.”

Life expectancy is falling in Britain and America

Britain and America are in the midst of a barely reported public health crisis.15

They are experiencing not merely a slowdown in life expectancy, which in many other rich countries is continuing to lengthen, but the start of an alarming increase in death rates across all our populations, men and women alike. We are needlessly allowing our people to die early. In Britain, life expectancy, which increased steadily for a century, slowed dramatically between 2010 and 2016. And the trends are deteriorating. Public Health England has published a hair-raising map of the English health experience from 2014 to 2016. The East and West Midlands, Yorkshire and Humberside, the north-west and north-east experienced declines in life expectancy. Nobody should have been surprised they voted against the status quo in the Brexit referendum.”

An unprecedented rise in mortality in England and Wales

A paper in the Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine investigated 30,000 excess deaths that occurred in 2015 that is already repeating itself in 2016-17.16 This excess mortality hasn’t been seen for 60 years. “One possible explanation for a sharp spike is an environmental shock, such as war or natural disaster. While these particular explanations are easy to exclude, other large-scale causes are not always immediately clear.” The researchers suggest it is likely to be linked to cuts to the NHS and social care. It has drawn an angry response from the government and the Department of Health.

Cancer rates will increase nearly six times faster in women than in men over the next 20 years, with obesity partly to blame, experts predict (03/02/2017 The Guardian)17

Cancer Research UK’s chief executive Sir Harpal Kumar said: “These new figures reveal the huge challenge we continue to face, both in the UK and worldwide. Research is at the heart of finding ways to reduce cancer’s burden and ensure more people survive, particularly for hard-to-treat cancers where the outlook for patients is still bleak. We need to keep working hard to reduce the devastating impact cancer can have on so many families. The latest figures show that more than 8 million people die from cancer each year across the world. More people die from cancer than Aids, malaria and tuberculosis put together. With more investment into research, we hope to make big improvements over the next 20 years in diagnosing the disease earlier and improving and developing treatments so that by 2034, three in four people will survive their disease.”

The CRUK website’s comments about pesticides as a cause of cancer: “For now, the evidence is not strong enough to give us any clear answers. But for individual pesticides, the evidence was either too weak to come to a conclusion, or only strong enough to suggest a “possible” effect. The scientific evidence on pesticides and cancer is still uncertain and more research is needed in this area.18

---

15 https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/aug/19/bad-news-is-were-dying-earlier-in-britain-down-to-shit-life-syndrome
16 http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0141076817693600
18 http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-info/healthyliving/cancercontroversies/pesticides/
British women live shorter lives than most other Europeans and nearly 20 years in poor health
Spanish women live the longest, with UK longevity ranked 17th out of 28 EU nations, according to
Public Health England’s annual health profile

“There is no reason why we shouldn’t be as healthy as anywhere in Europe,” said Prof John Newton,
director of health improvement at PHE. 19 “Many of the causes of chronic, long-term diseases in the
UK which shorten lives are preventable. Obesity is causing a big surge in the numbers of people
developing type 2 diabetes. The report shows the numbers with diabetes are expected to rise swiftly,
from just under four million last year to nearly five million in 2035. Along with alcohol, obesity is also
one of the factors behind the rise in breast cancer. Apart from the human cost, the bill for the NHS
will be huge... The list of the most common site-specific cancers remained unchanged from 2015 in
both sexes. Lung cancer, colorectal and anal cancer, and leukaemia and lymphomas were all in the
top ten leading causes of death in 2016...Prostate cancer and breast cancer remained amongst the
top ten leading causes of death for males and females respectively, both ranked seventh, the same as
in 2015. Lung cancer deaths remained the third most common cause of death for males and sixth
most common for females in 2016. There has also been an increase in the death rate from lung
cancer (8%), kidney disease and other diseases of the urinary system (38%) and chronic lower
respiratory disease (18%). When deaths from all cancers are grouped together, cancer accounted for
25.6% of all deaths in females and 30.3% of all deaths in males in 2016. This would make it the
leading cause, in 2016, for both sexes. The leading cause of death for women is dementia and
Alzheimer’s disease, responsible for 15.8% of deaths, with heart disease second at 8.3%. There has
been an increase in the death rate from dementia and Alzheimer’s of more than 60% and deaths
from liver disease have increased by 12%.

Women in 2014-16 were spending nearly 20 years of their life in poor health (19.3 years), while
men spent just over 16 years in poor health, according to data from the Office for National Statistics
included in the report. The leading cause of poor health, responsible for more than 22% of the pain
and suffering, is low back and neck pain, which can be caused by a number of things, including injury
and rheumatoid arthritis. After that come skin diseases such as acne and psoriasis, says the report.
Third for men is sight and hearing loss, while for women it is migraine. Fourth for both is depressive
disorders. Long-term conditions such as diabetes, high blood pressure and cancers underlie some of
these problems.”

Why is life expectancy faltering?
A Special Report in the Observer on 23 June 2019 asked the question: Why is life expectancy
faltering? 20

“For the first time in 100 years, Britons are dying earlier. The UK now has the worst health trends in
western Europe – and doctors and experts believe that the impact of austerity is a major factor
In a few days, a team of researchers, statisticians and geographers will gather at University College
London to tackle an issue of increasing concern for doctors and health experts. They will investigate
why many UK citizens are now living shorter, less healthy lives compared with the recent past.
“The emergence of faltering life expectancy in Britain has caused particular alarm because it reverses
a trend that has continued, almost unbroken, for close to 100 years. It is a perfect storm,”
says Danny Dorling, professor of social geography at Oxford University, who has organised the
London meeting. “Our faltering life expectancy rates show we have now got the worst trend in
health anywhere in western Europe since the second world war. To achieve that, we must have made
a lot of bad decisions,” he said.
On top of the health impacts on the elderly and the deprived, there has also been a worrying change
in infant mortality rates – as was acknowledged last week by the Office for National Statistics. It
reported that in 2017, there were 3.9 deaths per 1,000 live births in the UK. In 2016, there were 3.8.

mortality
“Infant mortality had been reducing since the 1980s and reached an all-time low in 2014. But since then the rate has increased every year,” said Vasita Patel, a senior research officer at the ONS. “The one in 2017 is significantly higher than the one in 2014.” To explain this alarming increase in infant mortality, Dorling blames a host of factors: “Fewer midwives, an overstrained ambulance service, general deterioration of hospitals, greater poverty among pregnant women and cuts that mean there are fewer health visitors for patients in need – all these factors are involved.” The crucial point is that health statistics tells us something fundamental about how well a society is doing, says Marmot. “When you see significant differences in life expectancy rates, that is telling us something particularly important about how well society is distributing its benefits.”

Pesticide residues on all non-organic food

A Report by Pesticides Action Network-UK has shown that 46% of non-organic food in 2013 contained residues of one or more pesticides and this had increased from 25% in 2003.21 A further Report by PAN-UK: Pesticides in your daily bread showed that nearly two-thirds of bread contained one or more pesticides and the three most frequently found were glyphosate, chlormequat (a growth regulator) and malathion22 (an insecticide classified by IARC as a 2B carcinogen.) 23

The Department of Health said that pesticides are not their concern

Department of Health’s School Fruit and Vegetable Scheme (SFVS) has residues of 123 different pesticides analysed by PAN-UK: DOH said ‘pesticides are not the concern of the DOH’

Pesticide Action Network UK’s analysis of the last 12 years of residue data published by the Expert Committee on Pesticide Residues in Food (PRiF) shows that there are unacceptable levels of pesticides present in the food provided through the Department of Health’s School Fruit and Vegetable Scheme (SFVS).24 Residues of 123 different pesticides were found, some of which are linked to serious health problems such as cancer and disruption of the hormone system. In many cases, multiple residues were found on the produce. This is another area of serious concern as the scientific community has little understanding about the complex interaction of different chemicals in what is termed the ‘cocktail’ effect. We have also found that the levels of residues contained on SFVS produce are higher than those in produce tested under the national residue testing scheme (mainstream produce found on supermarket shelves). When PAN-UK sent its 2017 findings to the Department of Health, it was told that pesticides are not the concern of the DoH.

The gut microbiome; the collective genome of organisms inhabiting our body. Obesity is associated with low bacterial richness in the gut

We can only absorb nutrients via trillions of bacteria in our gut, the gut microbiome

This is the term for the collective genome of organisms inhabiting our bodies. Glyphosate disrupts the shikimate pathway within these gut bacteria, without which we cannot survive. Glyphosate is a strong chelator of essential minerals, such as cobalt, zinc, manganese, calcium, molybdenum and sulphate. In addition, it kills off beneficial gut bacteria and allows toxic bacteria such as Clostridium difficile to flourish. Two key problems caused by glyphosate residues in our diet are nutritional deficiencies, especially minerals and essential amino-acids, and systemic toxicity.25

---

24 http://www.pan-uk.org/food-for-thought/
Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers: we are facing a global metabolic health crisis provoked by an obesity epidemic


“We are facing a global metabolic health crisis provoked by an obesity epidemic.” In a multi-author study of obese and non-obese individuals, those with “low bacterial richness in the gut (23% of the population) are characterized by more marked overall adiposity, insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia and a more pronounced inflammatory phenotype when compared with those with high bacterial richness. Low richness of gut microbiota has been reported in patients with inflammatory bowel disorder. Also, notable diversity differences were observed between the urban US population and rural populations from two developing countries.”

The microbiome of uncontacted Amerindians has the highest diversity of bacteria27

“We characterize the fecal, oral, and skin bacterial microbiome and resistome of members of an isolated Yanomami Amerindian village with no documented previous contact with Western people. These Yanomami harbor a microbiome with the highest diversity of bacteria and genetic functions ever reported in a human group.”

Many children become obese when they go to school because they are being poisoned by the Department of Health’s School Fruit and Vegetable Scheme (SFVS)

Thousands of UK children, mainly in deprived city areas, are already classed as severely obese when they leave primary school. 28 The UK is the most obese country in western Europe, according to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.29 Its annual Health at a Glance report, published on Friday, shows that 26.9% of the UK population had a body mass index of 30 and above, the official definition of obesity, in 2015. Only five of the OECD’s 35 member-states had higher levels of obesity, with four outside Europe and one in eastern Europe. The OECD’s report, which says obesity in the UK has increased by 92% since the 1990s, illustrates the scale of the public health challenge, with fears it could bankrupt the NHS.

Between May 2010 and the end of 2013, the Department of Health alone had 130 meetings with representatives of industry

Jonathan Gornall, in a BMJ investigation, discovered: “the extent and effects of contact between ministers and interest groups lobbying against the minimum unit price.” Gornall concluded that the consultation itself was a sham. “While MPs struggled to gain access to ministers, representatives of alcohol companies and major supermarkets had easy access – made easier by the well-oiled revolving doors between industry and special advisory posts.” Academics quoted by Gornall express concern about the misuse of the scientific process by the alcohol industry and its mouthpiece. Alcohol companies were using tactics reminiscent of the tobacco industry. Documents released under a freedom of information request showed that between the coalition taking power in May 2010 and the end of 2013 the Department of Health alone had 130 meetings with representatives of industry.30

The extensive investigation shows “beyond doubt that commercial interests are currently in control of key decisions about the public’s health.

26 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v500/n7464/abs/nature12506.html
27 http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/1/3/e1500183.short
30 jgornall@mac.com BMJ 2014; 348: f7646
David Cameron met 26 times with officials of Murdoch’s News Corporation in the first 14 months of office, more than twice the number of visits he has had with any other media organization.31

In 2018, shockingly high levels of weedkiller were found in UK oat-based cereals

We read an article in the UK Guardian about breakfast cereals in the US having weed killer in oat-based cereals. The UK Guardian reported: “There was no indication that the claims related to products sold outside the US.” In view of this statement by the Guardian, we sent samples of four oat-based breakfast cereals marketed for children in the UK to the Health Research Institute, Fairfield, Iowa, an accredited laboratory for glyphosate testing. Kellogg No added sugar granola with apricot and pumpkin seeds Barley Flakes 27% Oats 23% Rye 13% Wheat flour Oat flour; Quaker Oat so Simple; Quaker Whole Grain Rolled Oats; Weetabix Oatibix 100% wholegrain oats; Nestle Multigrain Cheerios: Whole Grain Oat flour 29.6% Whole Grain Wheat 29.6% Whole Grain Barley Flour 17.9% Whole Grain Corn Flour 2.1% Whole Grain Rice flour 2.1%

Dr Fagan the Director says: “These results are consistently concerning. The levels consumed in a single daily helping of any one of these cereals, even the one with the lowest level of contamination, is sufficient to put the person’s glyphosate levels above the levels that cause fatty liver disease in rats (and likely in people).” 32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of breakfast cereal marketed for children</th>
<th>Glyphosate level ng/g</th>
<th>AMPA level ng/g</th>
<th>Effective glyphosate level ng/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelloggs No added sugar granola with Apricot &amp; pumpkin seeds</td>
<td>499.90</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>499.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaker/Oat So simple/Original Microwaveable Oats</td>
<td>464.23</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>500.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weetibix Oatibix 100% wholegrain oats</td>
<td>318.85</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>344.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestle Multigrain Cheerios Whole Grain Oat flour 29.6% Whole Grain Wheat 29.6% Whole Grain Barley Flour 17.9% Whole Grain Corn Flour 2.1% Whole Grain Rice flour 2.1%</td>
<td>137.29</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>137.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Guardian didn’t publish these shocking figures. Could it be because they are supported by the Bill and Gates Foundation and the Rockefeller Foundation? However, the Daily Mail did.

The NFU has always denied the link between biodiversity losses and pesticides

Farmers are brainwashed by industry and defend pesticide use for ‘economic reasons’

On 15 August 2015 Ben Webster, The Times Environment Correspondent wrote: “Farmers have sharply increased their use of a weed killer that has been classified as ‘probably carcinogenic in humans.’ More than 1,700 tonnes of glyphosate were sprayed on crops last year, up a third on 2012, according to the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra). The total area sprayed with the weed killer grew by almost 500,000 hectares to 2.1 million hectares, an area the size of Wales. Guy Gagen, chief arable adviser for the National Farmers’ Union, said that glyphosate usage had probably increased to control black-grass,33 a weed that is resistant to weaker herbicides. He said: “No farmer would be wanting to put a chemical on a crop when he doesn’t need to.”

32 https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-6315209/Revealed-UK-cereals-contain-potentially-harmful-amounts-WEEDKILLER.html
33 HERBICIDE RESISTANT BLACKGRASS, FIRST SEEN IN 1982 IS NOW FOUND ON 16,000 FARMS IN 34 COUNTIES.
He added that spraying wheat could result in traces of glyphosate ending up in bread sold in supermarkets but the amount was well below the maximum residue level set by the EU. A Defra spokesman said: “There are extensive regulations in place so that people and the environment are protected from pesticides. The approval of glyphosate for use across Europe is being reviewed by the EU Commission.”


Mark Eaton of the RSPB, the Report’s first author said: “The report includes a new “biodiversity intactness index”, which analyses the loss of species over centuries. The UK has lost significantly more nature over the long term than the global average with the UK the 29th lowest out of 218 countries. “It is quite shocking where we stand compared to the rest of the world, even compared to other western European countries: France and Germany are quite a way above us in the rankings,” said Eaton. “The index gives an idea of where we have got to over the centuries, and we are pretty knackered.”

It was therefore astounding to hear the complete denial of the NFU and Defra about The State of Nature Report. NFU vice-president Guy Smith said “intensification of farming had ended in the early 1990s” that farmers “were using less fertiliser and pesticides than ever” and a spokeswoman from Defra said: “Protecting our precious environment and supporting our world-leading farmers, a cornerstone of our economy, will form an important part of our EU exit negotiations.”

The Royal Society of Medicine Conference on pesticides safety in November 2017

At the Royal Society of Medicine Conference on pesticides safety the Soil Association organised by its Policy Director, the late Peter Melchett, presented alarming figures. Under FOI request FERA Science (previously a government agency, now privatized) provided figures that showed that the number of active ingredients applied to wheat had risen 12-fold from 1.7 in 1974 to 20.7 in 2014; that those applied to potatoes had risen 5.8 times from 5.3 in 1975 to 30.8 in 2014; that those applied to onions and leeks had risen 18-fold from 5.3 in 1975 to 30.8 in 2014.

Most UK farmers who manage ‘75% of UK land’ are drowning their crops in pesticides

The National Farmers’ Union (NFU), the Crop Protection Association (CPA) and the Agricultural Industries Confederation (AIC) combine to lobby the EU not to restrict the 320+ pesticides available to them. The publication is called: HEALTHY HARVEST. The countries that have even lower Biodiversity Intactness Indices are similarly working with the Agrochemical Corporations. These are the Republic of Ireland and the USA.

Wellcome Trust and Gates Foundation working together to produce vaccines and drugs

Dr Jeremy Farrar of the Wellcome Trust and Dr Trevor Mundel of the Gates Foundation wrote in the Observer/Guardian on 27/02/2016 to appeal for money for vaccines and drugs to fight global diseases. Both have intimate connections with agrochemical and pharmaceutical corporations

This is a glyphosate-resistant super weed, the same as in GM in the US and Japanese Knotweed in the UK.
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including Monsanto, Syngenta, Bayer, AstraZeneca and GlaxoSmithKline. According to Independent Science News, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation this year paid a PR firm called Emerging Ag $1.6 million to recruit a covert coalition of academics to manipulate a UN decision-making process over gene drives, according to emails obtained through Freedom of Information requests.

Jonathan Latham PhD explains that: “Gene drives are a highly controversial new genetic extinction technology. They have been proposed as potentially able to eradicate malarial mosquitoes, agricultural pests, invasive species, as well as having potential military uses.”

Why are vaccinations made compulsory for children when severe side effects are known about, but are concealed from the public?

Dr Andrew Wakefield and Prof John Walker-Smith were struck off the GMC Register

In 1998 the Lancet published a case series on twelve children receiving treatment for bowel dysfunction at the Royal Free Hospital in London. The paper called for further study of a possible association between bowel disease and developmental delay, including cases of autism. It also noted that eight of the children’s gastrointestinal and autistic symptoms began shortly after they received the MMR vaccination. There was a vigorous media and medical campaign to suggest that the paper was fraudulent and there were conflicts of interest. It was retracted from the Lancet. In 2010 at a GMC hearing, two of the authors Dr Andrew Wakefield and Prof John Walker-Smith, an eminent paediatric gastroenterologist, were struck off the Medical Register and Wakefield left for the US.

In 2012 the GMC’s determination quashed by an appeal judge but not reported in the media

In 2012 Prof John Walker-Smith appealed and Justice John Mitting ruled on the appeal by Walker-Smith, saying that the GMC “panel’s determination cannot stand. I therefore quash it.”

He said that its conclusions were based on “inadequate and superficial reasoning and, in a number of instances, a wrong conclusion.” The verdict restored Walker-Smith’s name to the medical register and his reputation to the medical community. However, he had retired in 2001. It was reported in the Independent, the Mirror and the Evening Standard, but not in the Times, the Sunday Times, the Guardian or the Observer. Were government agencies and the press (Brian Deer in The Sunday Times) protecting the interests of Merck and GlaxoSmithKline? In fact, Brian Deer claimed to be a journalist writing for the Sunday Times, but the Sunday Times subsequently wrote a disclaimer. One of the parents of the 12 children asked Brian Deer how he managed to obtain the precise clinical details of her son. She also asked him who financed him for attending the whole of the GMC trial. He declined to answer both of these questions.

Big food, big pharma: is science for sale? Re: the UK decision to fund the new Bexsero vaccine

Elizabeth Loder, acting head of research at the BMJ. “We have grown accustomed to allegations of conflicts of interest, biased research, and manipulative marketing on the part of the drug industry. Sadly, this is not the only area where there is reason to be concerned about corporate influences on public policy. Crowcroft and colleagues examine the controversy over the UK government decision on public funding for a new vaccine (Bexsero) for meningococcal disease. The problems they outline are all too familiar: “lobbying may have influenced the alteration” of the original decision. Links
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between some “vocal clinicians” and the drug industry were not disclosed. The lack of transparency makes it unsurprising that “conspiracy theories emerged, including the idea of undue influence of industry.” Crowcroft et al had said: “Controversy about the evidence, economics, ethics, lobbying, and decision making surrounding a new vaccine for serogroup B meningococcal disease should trigger change in the way we develop recommendations for new vaccine.”

Are vaccinations safe? Bexsero Leaflet versus JCVI schedule: letter to the Editor BMJ

Leaflet for Bexsero vaccine for meningococcal disease: “Overall, in clinical studies, among 3,058 participants aged 10 through 25 years who received at least 1 dose of BEXSERO, 66 (2.1%) participants reported serious adverse events at any time during the study. In the 3 controlled studies 1,2,3 (BEXSERO n = 2,716, control n = 2,078), serious adverse events within 30 days after any dose were reported in 23 (0.8%) participants receiving BEXSERO and 10 (0.5%) control recipients.”

In the UK - according to the JCVI recommended schedule - Bexsero is not administered between the ages of 10 and 25 years but at 8 weeks, 16 weeks and 1 year, with a multitude of other vaccines. At 8 weeks, for example, a child receives all in one go Bexsero, Infanrix Hexa (DTaP+polio+HiB+Hep B), 13 strain pneumococcal and rotavirus vaccines. I wonder what advice GPs and A&E doctors are given for dealing with any reactions, and what long term studies exist showing this is safe?

Babies who follow the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) immunization schedule are injected with nearly 5,000 micrograms (5 milligrams) of aluminium by 18 months of age.

Aluminium in the central nervous system (CNS): toxicity in humans and animals, vaccine adjuvants, and autoimmunity was published in Canada in 2014. “Today, babies receive unprecedented multiple doses of aluminium-adjuvanted vaccines. The hepatitis B vaccine routinely given to babies within hours of their birth, for example, contains 250 micrograms of nanoparticles of the metal and this dose is repeated at two and six months of age. Each dose of DTaP vaccine given to babies at two, four, six and 15 months of age contains an additional 625 micrograms of the toxic metal. Each dose of Hib vaccine given at two, four and 12 months contains another 225 micrograms of aluminium, and so on. “Thus, babies who follow the CDC immunization schedule are injected with nearly 5,000 micrograms (5milligrams) of aluminium by 18 months of age,” writes Neil Z. Miller in a detailed report on the subject. Depending on their vaccine schedule, babies may receive up to 1,475 micrograms of aluminium at their 12 or 15 month visit, which happens to be right around the reported age that most parents and doctors first notice the onset of autism symptoms. The Centers for Disease Control confirms those numbers and cites an FDA study which found that an infant could be exposed to more than four milligrams of aluminium from vaccines in the first year of life.”

Prof Exley’s team from Keele published two papers in 2017 about aluminium.

Aluminium in brain tissue in autism in which samples from 5 donors had aluminium measured. “We have made the first measurements of aluminium in brain tissue in ASD and we have shown that the brain aluminium content is extraordinarily high. We have identified aluminium in brain tissue as both extracellular and intracellular with the latter involving both neurones and non-neuronal cells. The presence of aluminium in inflammatory cells in the meninges, vasculature, grey and white matter is a standout observation and could implicate aluminium in the aetiology of ASD.”

Aluminium in brain tissue in familial Alzheimer’s disease “The brain is a highly compartmentalized organ exceptionally susceptible to accumulation of metabolic errors. Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disease of the elderly and is characterized by regional specificity of neural aberrations associated with higher cognitive functions. Aluminium (Al) is
the most abundant neurotoxic metal on earth, widely bioavailable to humans and repeatedly shown to accumulate in AD-susceptible neuronal foci. Misconceptions about Al bioavailability may have misled scientists regarding the significance of Al in the pathogenesis of AD. The hypothesis that Al significantly contributes to Alzheimer’s Disease is built upon very solid experimental evidence and should not be dismissed. Immediate steps should be taken to lessen human exposure to Al, which may be the single most aggravating and avoidable factor related to AD.”

And another in 2019. Prescription infant formulas are contaminated with aluminium

“We obtained 24 prescription infant formulas through a paediatric clinic and measured their total aluminium content by transversely heated graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry following microwave assisted acid/peroxide digestion. Ready-made formulas available as nutritional supplements to aid infant growth contained some of the highest concentrations of aluminium in infant formulas measured in our laboratory. However, a number of prescription infant formulas contained the lowest concentrations of aluminium yet measured in our laboratory. These higher cost specialist preparations demonstrate that the contamination of infant formulas by aluminium is not inevitable. They represent what is achievable should manufacturers wish to address the threat posed to health through infant exposure to aluminium.”

Prof Exley interviewed by Del Bigtree at an Autoimmunity Conference in 2018

Chris Exley had worked on inorganic aluminium for 26 years and managed to get grants. But 18 months ago, when they wrote their two papers on vaccines and aluminium, although he didn’t read social media, people told him about death threats against him. Negative comments were made at the highest level in Keele (the Chancellor, Vice-Chancellor and Deans) because they had a Pharmaceutical Company on site. Merck had refused to let them have samples of Gardasil (a vaccine against human papilloma virus) which was unethical. Thus, Exley said, people should be given a choice about whether or not to have their children vaccinated, because of the collateral damage.

In 2010 GSK Pandemrix vaccine was recommended for swine flu: narcolepsy was a rare complication: why did the Government underwrite it so the taxpayer had to pay the bill?

“Reports indicate that dozens of Pandemrix victims from the UK will be awarded about $1.5 million each in permanent health damage caused by GSK’s Pandemrix vaccine. One in 16,000 people who took the vaccine, it turns out, are said to have developed narcolepsy and/or cataplexy, two neurological diseases that disrupt normal sleep patterns and muscle function. But rather than be held responsible, GSK is actually billing the UK government the equivalent of about $92 million to pay for damages, which means taxpayers are footing the bill. The Work and Pensions Department (WPD) would only pay out for 60% disability.” The WPD refused to pay until a major study of four- to 18-year-olds by the Health Protection Agency found that around one in every 55,000 vaccinations was associated with narcolepsy.

A review by the International Medical Council on Vaccination by Buttram & Frompovich:

Vaccines and Brain Inflammation: the effects of vaccinations on children’s brains. In particular there was a prospective study of premature infants vaccinated and followed for three days; abnormal

---
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elevation of C-Reactive Protein (CRP)\textsuperscript{54} level occurred in 85% of infants administered multiple vaccines and up to 70% of those given a single vaccine. Overall, 16% of infants had vaccine-associated cardiorespiratory events within 48 hours post-immunization. Furthermore, the Pourcyrous Study noted that the DTaP vaccine\textsuperscript{55} was associated with the highest incidence of cardiorespiratory events in those infants given a single vaccine.\textsuperscript{56} They found that the adjuvants aluminium, oils (such as peanut oil) and bacterial products could be responsible for inflammation. During the Congressional Hearings on Vaccine Safety (1999-Dec. 2004) an FDA panel was repeatedly asked, “Where are your (safety) studies?” The panel could only reply with unsatisfactory answers such as, “They would be very expensive.”

Merck and GlaxoSmithKline (GSF) donated vaccines Gardasil and Cevarix to prevent cervical cancer through the Gates Foundation in India that have caused illnesses and seven deaths; the trial was done without valid consent forms.

Narayana Kumar, Times of India 31 August 2014: ‘Controversial vaccine studies: Why is Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation under fire from critics in India? “Several months after the vaccines were administered, many girls started falling ill and by 2010 five of them died in Andhra Pradesh and two died in Gujarat.”

A standing committee on health and family welfare that investigated the irregularities pertaining to the observation studies in India tabled its report a year ago, on August 30. The committee said it was “deeply shocked to find that in Andhra Pradesh out of the 9,543 [consent] forms, 1,948 forms have thumb impressions while hostel wardens have signed 2,763 forms. In Gujarat, out of the 6,217 forms 3,944 have thumb impressions and 5,454 either signed or carried thumb impressions of guardians. The data revealed that a very large number of parents or guardians are illiterate and could not even write in their local languages, Telugu or Gujarati.”

Earlier this month, taking a serious view of the death of seven tribal girls in the context of the observation studies, the Supreme Court asked the Drug Controller General of India (DCGI) and the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) to explain how permissions were given.”

British Government appoints GlaxoSmithKline president as its Chief Scientific Advisor (CSA)

In 2017 Patrick Vallance, President of GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, was elected to the Royal Society as a preliminary to being appointed as CSA to the UK Government. Vallance said leaving GSK had been a ‘difficult decision’, but added: ‘I am hugely excited about this opportunity to serve the UK government at a time when science has never been more important to the UK’s economy and future.’ “GSK has suffered some high-profile setbacks in clinical trials during Dr Vallance’s tenure but leading figures in the medical world welcomed his appointment to the new public role.” “At a time when UK science needs to come together to weather the post-Brexit landscape, Patrick Vallance’s appointment recognizes the opportunity we have to here in the UK to connect world leading science with cutting edge innovation from global businesses.”

\textsuperscript{54} C-reactive protein (CRP) is produced by the liver. The level of CRP rises when there is inflammation throughout the body. It is one of a group of proteins called “acute phase reactants” that go up in response to inflammation.

\textsuperscript{55} DTaP: A vaccine against diphtheria, tetanus, and whooping cough (pertussis)

\textsuperscript{56} \url{http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022-3476(07)00185-0/abstract}

\textsuperscript{57} \url{http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2014-08-31/news/53413161_1_hpv-vaccine-cevarix-human-papilloma-virus}
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Pharmaceutical industry has gained unprecedented control over its own products

Dr Leemon McHenry (California State University, Northridge) has written on how the pharmaceutical industry manipulates studies and gets away with fraud.\(^6\) In this paper, reviewing three trials on psychiatric drugs (two on paroxetine and one on citalopram), he and his colleagues concluded that all industry-sponsored trials are suspect and should be treated as such. “Because so few gain public scrutiny and even fewer are even formally retracted it is important to make these articles transparent to correct the scientific record and protect patients from potential harm.”

The former Editor of the New England Journal of Medicine Marcia Angell reported in 2008 that “over the past two decades the pharmaceutical industry has gained unprecedented control over its own products. Drug companies now finance most clinical research over prescription drugs and there is mounting evidence they often skew the research they sponsor to make their drugs look better and safer.”\(^61\)

In 2014 China fined the British pharmaceuticals giant GlaxoSmithKline $488.8 million (3 billion Yuan) for a "massive bribery network" to get doctors and hospitals to use its products. \(^62\)
In 2016, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) was fined £37.6m by the UK competition watchdog for paying drug makers in return for delaying cheaper generic versions of Seroxat. \(^63\)

Pandemrix vaccine: why was the public not told of early warning signs? Lawsuits show that GSK knew

Pandemrix vaccine: why was the public not told of early warning signs? Lawsuits show GSK knew “Now, eight years after is emerging from one of the lawsuits that, months before the narcolepsy cases were reported, the manufacturer and public health officials were aware of other serious adverse events logged in relation to Pandemrix. \(^64\) Over 1300 people are estimated to have been affected among the roughly 30 million vaccinated across Europe including around 100 families in the UK. For a range of concerning adverse events, reports were coming in for Pandemrix at a consistently higher rate than for the other two GSK pandemic vaccines—four times the rate of facial palsy, eight times the rate of serious adverse events, nine times the rate of convulsions. Overall, Pandemrix had, proportionally, five times more adverse events reported than Arepanrix and the unadjuvanted vaccine.

In Germany, senior physicians expressed doubts about GlaxoSmithKline’s Pandemrix vaccine. They were concerned about potential side effects triggered by the AS03 adjuvant, an oil-in-water emulsion that it contained to boost effectiveness. Then controversy erupted when the German newspaper Der Spiegel reported that top politicians and government employees were going to receive Celvapan, Baxter’s unadjuvanted H1N1 vaccine, not Pandemrix.

We fully support the swine flu vaccination programme ... The vaccine has been thoroughly tested...except it hadn’t

The author said: What is the purpose of pharmacovigilance if nobody is acting on the information? This information took eight years to come to light through academic work and litigation. Is this acceptable? If the information at our disposal is partial, that is the direct consequence of secrecy,
which should not surround any public health intervention. With prominent organisations in the UK, including the Department of Health, British Medical Association, and Royal Colleges of General Practitioners, working hard to convince a reluctant NHS workforce to get vaccinated. “We fully support the swine flu vaccination programme ... The vaccine has been thoroughly tested,” they declared in a joint statement. Except, it hadn’t.

Clare Daly, a member of the Irish parliament, called the adverse events after Pandemrix a “completely avoidable catastrophe,” and has been demanding answers for over a year. “The Health Service Executive (HSE) decided to purchase Pandemrix and continued to distribute it even after they knew it was dangerous and untested, and before most of the public in Ireland received it. Given that it was in possession of that knowledge, why did it continue to administer the least safe vaccine? Was it cheaper? Did the government have a deal with GSK in return for jobs?”

She cited the case of a 14-year-old boy with narcolepsy and added, “The HSE and the State Claims Agency went into the High Court last week, unnoticed and undocumented, to obstruct this boy and people like him who are seeking documents under discovery.”

Cochrane Review on HPV vaccine was criticised by researchers from Sweden, Denmark and Oxford Jørgensen L, Gøtzsche P, Jefferson T. The Cochrane HPV vaccine review was incomplete and ignored important evidence of bias. BMJ Evid Based Med. 2018 Jul 27.65

A short but apposite extract from the paper: “The Cochrane Collaboration aims to be free from conflicts of interest related to the manufacturers of the reviewed products.22 Most of the 14 Cochrane authors on the first published protocol for the Cochrane review had major conflicts of interest related to the HPV vaccine manufacturers. The announcement of the Cochrane review on Cochrane.org under ‘News’ included a ‘UK Science Media Centre roundup of third-party expert reaction to this review’. Six experts were cited—all from the UK, although the Cochrane Collaboration is an international organisation. Two of the experts had financial conflicts of interest with the HPV vaccine manufacturers. A third expert was responsible for vaccinations in Public Health England (PHE) that promotes the HPV vaccines.” Richard Smith—the former editor of the British Medical Journal (BMJ)—described medical journals as an extension of the marketing arm of the drug industry. We are concerned that some observers may see Cochrane reviews in the same light when Cochrane publishes such public relation messages.”

Controversy about the Cochrane Collaboration and HPV vaccination for girls: a ‘gift’ from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to fund ‘project work’

The Cochrane Collaboration is considered to be the “gold standard” in scientific integrity, but they have come under fire recently for what appears to be biased reviews influenced by the pharmaceutical industry. They received a $1.15 million “gift” from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to fund “project work” from 2016 to 2017.66

Cochrane board: The 13-member board of the prestigious medical-evidence group the Cochrane Collaboration has seen a slew of resignations. On 14 September 2018, board member Peter Gøtzsche said he had been expelled from the collaboration with no clear justification. Cochrane told Nature that it had received “numerous complaints” about Gøtzsche, after he co-authored a critique of the Cochrane’s review on the human papilloma virus vaccine. Four elected board members quit in protest, requiring two appointed members to step down to maintain a mandated balance. The ructions came as the group had its annual meeting in Edinburgh, UK.67

65 https://ebm.bmj.com/content/early/2018/07/27/bmjebm-2018-111012
67 https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-06693-7?WT.ec_id=NATURE-20180920&utm_source=nature_etoc&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20180920&spMailingID=57400609&spUserID=MTg5MTg3OTcxMTES1&spJobID=1482673247&spReportId=MTg4MjY3MzI0NwS2
Health Impact News has covered the work of Dr. Gøtzsche frequently over the years, as he is an outspoken critic of Big Pharma, referring to them as "organized crime." He is author of the book, Deadly Medicines and Organized Crime: How big pharma has corrupted healthcare.

UK’s Science Media Centre lambasted for pushing corporate science

The meeting of global science writers in Bath in 2014 concluded: “Journalists who uncritically report on SMC briefings and quotes sent by the centre are being taken for a ride by a lobby organisation instead of a neutral science information provider.” Connie St Louis, former president of the Association of British Science Writers and a senior lecturer at City University, London said: “I would close down the UK Science Media Centre.” She conducted a small study on the centre’s impact on UK science reporting in the 12 national newspapers in 2011 and 2012. The SMC’s main activities include sending out ‘expert reactions’ — quotes on issues in the news — and holding media briefings, essentially small press conferences with a few experts. She found that more than half the SMC’s expert reactions were covered in the press and, in 23 per cent of the stories that included these, the only quotes were those that came from the centre.

David Miller, a professor of sociology from the University of Bath, United Kingdom, presented a scathing analysis of the SMC, based on a combination of methods, such as analysing the SMC’s website content and sending out freedom of information requests. He looked at which experts the centre uses — given that its mission is to get scientists’ views across. What he found was that some 20 of the 100 most quoted experts were not scientists, as defined by having a PhD and working at a research institution or a top learned society. Instead they were lobbyists for and CEOs of industry groups. In 2012, the UK SMC, EFSA, Monsanto and GMO lobbyists set about discrediting and destroying the paper by Séralini and his team. After a year, Wallace Hayes, the Editor of Food and Chemical Toxicology retracted the paper under pressure from Monsanto, but it was republished.

Many Guardian journalists take their reports about causes of cancer from CRUK, and about vaccines from the UK Science Media Centre, because the Guardian is being supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates and the Rockefeller Foundations

Cancer Research UK was high-jacked by the Agrochemical Industry in 2010

Michael Pragnell, former Chairman of Cancer Research UK (2010-2017), Founder of Syngenta and former Chairman of CropLife International was awarded a CBE in 2016 for services to cancer research. CropLife International was founded in 2001. As of 2015 CropLife International’s member list includes the following 8 companies: BASF, Bayer CropScience, Dow AgroSciences, DuPont, FMC Corp., Monsanto, Sumitomo and Syngenta.

CRUK invented causes of cancer and put the blame on the people for lifestyle choices

Cancer Research UK colluded with the Chief Medical Officers, Public Health England, the Committee on Carcinogenicity and the media. Collectively, they blamed the people for their lifestyle choices: alcohol, obesity and smoking. But the corporations are responsible. In 2011 CRUK started donating money (£450 million/year) to the Government’s Strategy for UK Life Sciences and AstraZeneca (Syngenta’s parent company) was providing 22 compounds to academic research to develop medicines in the UK. One Corporation promotes cancer and other diseases; the other Corporation tries to cure them with synthetic chemicals.

68 https://www.scidev.net/global/journalism/feature/uk-s-science-media-centre-lambasted-for-pushing-corporate-science.html
The Committee on Carcinogenicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COC) agreed with the CMOs and made the bold statement in support of industry: 70

“Overall, our findings support the view that drinking alcohol increases the risk of getting cancers of the mouth and throat, voice box, gullet, large bowel, liver, of breast cancer in women, and probably also of cancer of the pancreas.”

A red-herring fabricated by industry and ‘top’ doctors in Britain: alcohol was claimed to be linked to seven forms of cancer: this ‘alleged fact’ was endlessly reinforced by the UK media until people in the UK were brainwashed

An article was published in the British Medical Journal on 9 April 201671 reporting a survey commissioned by Cancer Research UK ‘People lack awareness of link between alcohol and cancer.’ The Report produced by researchers at the University of Sheffield ‘comes ahead of the consultation closing on how well new drinking guidelines proposed by the UK’s Chief Medical Officer in January 2016, are communicated.’72

“Almost 90 per cent of people in England don’t associate drinking alcohol with an increased risk of cancer” Alison Cox, Cancer Research UK’s Director of Cancer Prevention. She said: “The link between alcohol and cancer is now well established, and it’s not just heavy drinkers who are at risk. Drinking alcohol is linked to an increased risk of seven different cancers - liver, breast, bowel, mouth, throat, oesophageal (food pipe), laryngeal (voice box) - but when people were asked “which, if any, health conditions do you think can result from drinking too much alcohol?” just 13 per cent of adults mentioned cancer.” Dr Penny Buykx, a senior research fellow at The University of Sheffield and lead-author of the report, said: “We’ve shown that public awareness of the increased cancer risk from drinking alcohol remains worryingly low. People link drinking and liver cancer, but most still don’t realise that cancers including breast cancer, mouth and throat cancers and bowel cancer are also linked with alcohol, and that risks for some cancers go up even by drinking a small amount.”

In fact, drinking alcohol and smoking decreased, so they had to think of something different.

By 2018 CRUK was claiming that obesity caused 13 different cancers and used some of the donated money for a nationwide publicity campaign

Dr Margaret McCartney wrote in the BMJ on 17 March 2018:73 Cancer patients should not be shamed: “The charity Cancer Research UK has gone large on its publicity campaign about obesity. Bus stops and advertising hoardings are replete with black text on a white background. The adverts invite us to fill in the blanks and spell out OBESITY, asking us to “Guess what is the biggest preventable cause of cancer after smoking.” The charity has also said, as part of the campaign, that “being overweight or obese causes 13 different types of cancer.”2 But the vast majority of studies on this have found an association, not causation.3”

In the Observer and the Guardian 20 and 21 July 2019 CRUK took out half-page advertisements. Obesity (in huge letters) is a cause of cancer too. In a smaller box, it states Like smoking, obesity puts millions of adults at greater risk of cancer. And at the bottom, barely visible: cruk.org/EndJunkFoodAdsToKids.

CRUK has paid for many adverts on ITV and Channel 4 describing how it looks after people with cancer and encouraged donations from the public. It claims to have spent £42 million on information
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and influencing in 2018. Its executives paid themselves between £60,000 and £250,000 in 2016. This was just about the highest pay of any charity.  

**CRUK’s vision ‘is to bring forward the days when all cancers are cured’ is complete fabrication by the pesticides industry**

Cancer Research UK is the world’s leading charity dedicated to cancer research

Michelle Mitchell OBE said: “It’s a privilege to be appointed as CEO of the world’s leading charity dedicated to beating cancer through research. Like many people, I have family who have been affected by cancer and I’m passionate about Cancer Research UK’s goal to speed up impact on survival.”

The Department of Health’s School Fruit and Vegetable Scheme contains residues of 123 pesticides. These pesticides poison the gut microbiome; obesity is associated with low bacterial richness.

That is why some children in poor city areas are already classed as severely obese by the time they leave primary school. The Department of Health, Cancer Research UK, the British Medical Association, Public Health England, Nature and the UK media blame parents and children for their own and their children’s obesity, but it is industry that is to blame. Monsanto and Bayer should be taken to the International Criminal Court in the Hague and tried for crimes against humanity.

Dr. Don Huber, Emeritus Professor of Plant Pathology at Purdue University Indiana said:

“When future historians come to write about our era they are not going to write about the tons of chemicals we did or didn’t apply. When it comes to glyphosate they are going to write about our willingness to sacrifice our children and to jeopardize our very existence by risking the sustainability of our agriculture; all based upon failed promises and flawed science. The only benefit is that it affects the bottom-line of a few companies. There’s no nutritional value.”

The agrochemical industry has created a toxic environment from which none can escape

The devastating effects of these silent killers in our environment do not distinguish between farmers or city dwellers, the wealthy or the poor, between media moguls, editors or their reporters, Monsanto or Syngenta Executives, Prime Ministers or Presidents. Many people in the UK are no longer reaching the biblical age of three-score-years-and ten because they are dying of cancers.

Rosemary Mason 6th August 2019
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